Interview Summary	08/828,560	EGNOR, JOHN M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bradley T. King	3657	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Bradley T. King</u> .	(3) <u>John Egnor</u> .		
(2) <u>Benjamin Urcia</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>12 February 2009</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,16 and 17</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed possible limited cleaning and drainage to define over the rejections of recordance</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the	ntions directed towards the siderd. Iments which the examiner agopy of the amendments that well. Indicate the side of the si	e openings that reed would rend rould render the	allow for er the claims claims DF THE
GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT) ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, '	/ DAYS FROM 1 WHICHEVER IS	THIS LATER, TO
/Bradley T King/			

Application No.

Applicant(s)